

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W. ULLB. 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536



FILE:

EAC 99 088 50591

Office:

Vermont Service Center

Date:

AUG 2 3 2000

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

APPLICATION: Petition for Special Immigrant Battered Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)((B)(ii) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1154(a)(1)(B)(ii)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i)

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,

EXAMINATIONS

Ferrance M. O'Reilly, Director Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a native and citizen of who is seeking classification as a special immigrant pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(B)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1154(a)(1)(B)(ii), as the battered spouse of a lawful permanent resident of the United States.

The director determined that the petitioner failed to establish eligibility for the benefit sought because she was divorced from her allegedly abusive lawful permanent resident spouse prior to the filing of the self-petition. The director, therefore, denied the petition.

On appeal, counsel asserts that the requirement that the petitioner remain legally married at the time of filing the self-petition violates the spirit and purpose of the law, frustrates the protection of abused spouses, and clearly violates her constitutional right to equal protection under the law.

Ιt should be initially noted that the Immigration Naturalization Service (the Service) cannot pass | upon constitutionality of the statute it administers. The petitioner's contention, therefore, that a pertinent section of the Crime Bill as well as its implementing regulations violate the Equal Protection Clause of the Constitution is simply advanced in an inappropriate forum. The Service can address, however, questions relating to the constitutionality of its application of the law. Since all applicants seeking special immigrant status under the battered spouse provisions of the Act must qualify on the same basis, as mandated by Congress, no violation of equal protection can be found.

8 C.F.R. 204.2(c)(1) states, in pertinent part, that:

- (i) A spouse may file a self-petition under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) or 204(a)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act for his or her classification as an immigrant relative or as a preference immigrant if he or she:
 - (A) Is the spouse of a citizen or lawful permanent resident of the United States;
 - (B) Is eligible for immigrant classification under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) or 203(a)(2)(A) of the Act based on that relationship;

- (C) Is residing in the United States;
- (D) Has resided in the United States with the citizen or lawful permanent resident spouse;
- (E) Has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage; or is the parent of a child who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen permanent resident during marriage;
- (F) Is a person of good moral character;
- (G) Is a person whose deportation (removal) would result in extreme hardship to himself, herself, or his or her child; and
- (H) Entered into the marriage to the citizen or lawful permanent resident in good faith.

The petition, Form I-360, shows that the petitioner entered the United States as an F-1 student on April 21, 1994. The petitioner married her lawful permanent resident spouse on February 24, 1997 The petitioner's spouse subsequently petitioned for dissolution of the marriage, and the final judgment of divorce became effective on August 27, 1998. On December 30, 1998, a self-petition was filed by the petitioner claiming eligibility as a special immigrant alien who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, her lawful permanent resident spouse during their marriage.

8 C.F.R. 204.2(c)(1)(ii) states, in pertinent part:

The self-petitioning spouse must be legally married to the abuser when the petition is properly filed with the Service. A spousal self-petition must be denied if the marriage to the abuser legally ended through annulment, death, or divorce before that time. After the selfpetition has been properly filed, the legal termination of the marriage will have no effect on the decision made on the self-petition.

The petitioner's marriage to the alleged abuser legally ended through divorce prior to the filing of the self-petition. petitioner, therefore, is ineligible for the benefit sought.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.